

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,960	02/25/2004	Yusuke Akami	2004_0294A	8466
513	7590 05/13/2005		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			GRAHAM, MATTHEW C	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			3683	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/784,960	AKAMI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew C Graham	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	,						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,3,5,6,8,10,12 and 16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4,7,9,11,13-15,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or 6	8) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of: 1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ■ 3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/784,960 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

1. Applicant's election of Species V, claims 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, 13-15, 17 and 18 in the reply filed on 2/11/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 17, 18, 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Pubication 257189 by Yusuke.

See figure 2. The connection in Yusuke permits and is capable of rocking movement or radial movement to the broad degree claimed.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of

Application/Control Number: 10/784,960 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35

U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 4, 7, 11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over JP Yusuke in view of VanSweden.

The claimed invention differs from Yusuki only in the type of connection between

the cylinders. VanSweden shows a spherical bearing 46 between cylinders on a shock

absorber. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized a

swivel bearing, such as shown by VanSweden in the shock absorber of Yusuke so as to

allow for lateral play and movement when in use. The connection shown in VanSweden

is a universal joint mechanism.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Mauz shows a spherical bearing. Nehl et al, Lisenker and Jones

et al. show shock absorbers.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Matthew

C Graham at telephone number 571-272-7116.

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 310